

PRESIDENTIAL LEGISLATIVE LIAISON OFFICE
Quarterly Physical Report of Operation
As of December 31, 2014

Department : Other Executive Offices
 Agency/OU : Presidential Legislative Liaison Office
 Fund :

	PARTICULARS	UACS CODE	FY 2014 PHYSICAL TARGETS				TOTAL	FY 2014 PHYSICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS			TOTAL	VARIANCE	REMARKS
			1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter			
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Part A	I. Operations												
MFO 1 - LEGISLATIVE LIAISON SERVICES													
<u>Performance Indicator 1:</u> Percentage of the President's priority bills/legislative agenda shepherded	34% 50 bills	34% 50 bills	10% 15 bills	22% 30 bills	100% 145 bills	83% 121 bills	94% 137 bills	34% 50 bills	34% 35 bills	24% 35 bills	237% 343 bills	137% 198 bills	Please see attached (Annex A and Annex B)
<u>Performance Indicator 2:</u> Percentage of shepherded priority bills/legislative agenda of the President calendared for resolution/proper disposition	23% 150	12% 80	34% 220	31% 200	100% 650	147% 953	179% 1163	184% 1194	63% 410	572% 3720	472% 3,070		
<u>Performance Indicator 3:</u> Percentage of the President/Executive Departments legislative agenda acted upon and facilitated five (5) days after receipt of complete documentation.	20% 54	15% 41	40% 109	25% 68	100% 272	23% 62	38% 102	46% 126	23% 63	130% 353	30% 81		
Part B (NOT APPLICABLE)													
Major Programs/Projects	Per AITF-TWG Assessment: - PLLO programs are not among the priority programs being monitored by PMS. - Outcome (i.e. Worldwide Governance Indicators) Indicators for O.P. mentioned in PDP-RM cannot be directly attributed.											In coordination with:	
KRA No. 1 - Anti Corruption, Transparent, Accountable and Participatory Governance												Approved by:	
Prepared by:	 VICENTE S. LUCAS Chairman, Planning Committee												
In coordination with:	 ROSELYN M. JHINGA Chief, Administrative Officer												
Approved by:	 Secretary MANUEL N. MAMBA, M.D. Head, PLLO												

Physical Performance Report
January-December 2014

Reason for Under/Over Performance

While the PLLO aggressively pushed for priority measures of the President and the whole Administration, close monitoring of “red flag” measures increased overtime as various department/agencies brought these to the attention of the PLLO and requested appropriate interventions to manage the same in order to ensure their consistency with set standards and policies and therefore avoid the possibility of a presidential veto.

This resulted in a significant increase in the number of measures shepherded for the period which in turn required intensive and creative implementation of strategic interventions and other related activities. It therefore pre-occupied the PLLO with an unexpected number of consultative meetings with different executive agencies and even the private sector and non-governmental organizations and to address their concerns on pending bills filed in Congress as well to push for their respective advocacies (i.e. from assistance in the drafting of bills, filing, committee referrals, calendaring for committee hearing and plenary considerations, etc.). This necessitated frequent coordination in various-levels with the members of the Legislative Liaison System (i.e., cluster and plenary levels), legislators, their staff and the various units in the congressional secretariat as well as review of these measures vis-à-vis position of executive agencies.

Aside from managing “red flag” or objectionable bills, the PLLO had to give more time and exert more effort in ensuring a relatively harmonious executive-legislative relation during the period since almost the whole third quarter is considered the budget season. This period particularly started in the first week of August when the President submitted the National Expenditure Program or the national budget and immediately thereafter a series of budget hearings in both Chambers of Congress were conducted everyday which lasted until late in the evenings and overflowed to whole day plenary deliberations, until its approval and eventual enactment into law in December. This national budget deliberations can be considered peculiarly exhaustive as compared to previous years since its consideration was affected by the existing political dynamics relative to the absence of the PDAF, the SC decision on the DAP, the impeachment cases against the President and other related issues.

These, together with the various inquiries and investigations conducted by individual committees in both Chambers required the PLLO and the members of the LLS to undertake multi-level and multi-dimensional intercessions and implement innovative trouble-shooting activities to manage these issues and ensure the approval of the national budget as well as maintain the productive relationship of Congress and Executive Branch.

ooOoo

PRESIDENTIAL LEGISLATIVE LIAISON OFFICE
Quarterly Physical Report of Operation
As of December 31, 2014

Department : Other Executive Offices
 Agency/OU : Presidential legislative Liaison Office
 Fund :

	PARTICULARS	UACS CODE	FY 2014 PHYSICAL TARGETS				TOTAL	FY 2014 PHYSICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS				TOTAL	VARIANCE
			1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
Part A													
I. Operations													
MFO 1 - LEGISLATIVE LIAISON SERVICES													
<i>Performance Indicator 1:</i>													
No. of agencies assisted towards the formulation of legislative agenda at the department/agency, Cabinet cluster and cabinet director levels	10	85	15	0	110	35	91	122	0	248	138		
No. of agencies consulted and assisted towards the drafting of administration version of priority measures	25	35	10	10	80	28	48	92	10	178	98		
No. of agencies consulted and assisted in the filing of priority administration bills	25	40	30	10	105	30	127	164	12	333	228		
No. of priority bills shepherded/facilitated at various stages of the legislative process (i.e. committee, TWG, plenary and bicameral conference committee)	15	24	35	20	94	42	132	162	35	371	277		
No. of other legislative proposals reviewed and monitored for purposes of determining consistency with the Administration's policy reform and development program	61	50	60	65	236	173	323	230	232	958	722		
<i>Performance Indicator 2:</i>													
No. of requests of legislators (i.e. legislative or constituency concerns) processed and facilitated from the date of receipt	95	85	75	95	350	132	29	62	145	368	18		
No. of LEDAC Meetings (TWG, Execom and/or LEDAC proper) and PLLO-initiated consultations conducted or facilitated in furtherance of LEDAC objectives	2	2	2	2	8	4	5	1	1	11	3		
No. of privilege speeches, pronouncements legislative inquiries monitored	258	225	489	356	1328	593	700	581	113	1987	659		
No. of horizontal and vertical consultations/ coordinations to pursue a specific political objective and/or address or resolve problems and other concerns	120	75	125	445	158	382	523	104	1167	722			
No. of political situations prepared for the President's information/consideration	45	45	45	45	180	66	47	27	48	188	8		

PRESIDENTIAL LEGISLATIVE LIAISON OFFICE
Quarterly Physical Report of Operation
As of December 31, 2014

Department : Other Executive Offices
 Agency/OU : Presidential Legislative Liaison Office
 Fund :

PARTICULARS	UACS CODE	FY 2014 PHYSICAL TARGETS				TOTAL	FY 2014 PHYSICAL ACCOMPLISHMENTS				TOTAL	VARIANCE
		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter		1st Quarter	2nd Quarter	3rd Quarter	4th Quarter		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
<i>Performance Indicator 3:</i>												
No. of seminar-workshops, trainings and consultative meetings intended to transfer advocacy expertise and skills to organized groups		4	4	4	4	16	10	45	66	16	137	121
No. of information dissemination programs/activities undertaken targeting the general public or specific audience		12	12	12	12	48	19	112	137	30	298	250
No. of LL.S meetings at plenary and cluster levels		10	10	10	10	40	18	15	24	5	62	22
No. of small groups consultation meetings and TWGs		45	45	65	45	200	62	77	113	36	288	88